

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	CRIMINAL NO. 2:06 MJ 174
)	
JIMMIE L. COLLINS)	

OPINION AND ORDER OF DETENTION

At the August 21, 2006 initial appearance, the government requested that the defendant, Jimmie L. Collins, be held without bond. A detention hearing was scheduled for August 22, 2006, but was continued until August 30, 2006, at the request of the defendant. The court now makes the following findings of fact:

1. The defendant currently is charged in a one count criminal complaint with a violation of 18 U.S.C. §2422(b). If convicted, the defendant faces a mandatory minimum sentence of ten years imprisonment and a maximum sentence of life imprisonment.

2. At the detention hearing, the government proffered evidence to supplement the probable cause affidavit and the prebond report. The defendant proffered evidence and called Secret Service Agent William McKenna as a witness.

3. On August 16 and August 17, 2006, the defendant participated in two online conversations with a police officer posing as a 13 year old girl. During these conversations, the defendant indicated that he wanted to have oral and vaginal sex with the girl.

4. During one of the conversations, the defendant told the 13 year old girl that he had taken the "cherry" of another teenager and that it only hurt for a short time.

5. On August 18, 2006, the defendant traveled from White-land, Indiana, to Lafayette, Indiana, to meet the 13 year old girl. Prior to the scheduled encounter, the defendant rented a room at the Motel 6.

6. After the rental period expired, an employee of the motel provided federal agents with a package of condoms and a tube of KY jelly.

7. The defendant has lived with his girlfriend for 20 years, and they have a son from their relationship. The girlfriend indicated that the defendant can return to the residence.

8. The defendant is employed, and his employer has indicated that he can return to that job.

9. On December 9, 1987, the defendant was convicted of child molesting and sentenced to three years probation.

Under 18 U.S.C. §3142(e), a defendant may be detained as a danger to the community if he is charged with a crime of violence under Section 3142(f)(1)(A). The defendant is charged with using the internet to solicit sex with someone he believed to be a 13 year old girl in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2422(b). If the defendant is charged with a crime of violence, there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of detention.

In *United States v. Munro*, 394 F.3d 865 (10th Cir. 2005), the defendant was charged with using the internet to solicit sex

with a minor in violation of Section 2422(b) along with carrying a firearm during a crime of violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. §924(c). The Court of Appeals concluded that the attempted sexual abuse of a minor was a crime of violence and upheld the Section 924(c) conviction. 394 F.3d at 870-871. *See also United States v. Abad*, 350 F.3d 793, 798-99 (8th Cir. 2003) (where the defendant was charged with traveling in interstate commerce to engage in sexual activity with a minor in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2423(b), the Court of Appeals held that the defendant was charged with a crime of violence and that the district court erred in releasing the defendant on bond); *United States v. Champion*, 248 F.3d 502, 506 (6th Cir. 2001) (in sentencing the defendant following his conviction under Section 2422(b) and other statutes designed to protect minors, it was held that the defendant was convicted of a crime of violence and that an enhancement was appropriate under Section 4B1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines); *United States v. Searcy*, 418 F.3d 1193, 1197 (11th Cir. 2005) (finding that a violation of Section 2422(b) was a crime of violence under Section 4B1.1).

In *United States v. Vargas*, 332 F.3d 471 (7th Cir. 2003), the Seventh Circuit reviewed the Application Note to Section 2L1.2 and concluded that any sexual abuse of a minor constitutes a crime of violence. 332 F.3d at 473-74. *See also United States v. Martinez*, 250 F.3d 1101, 1105 (7th Cir. 2001) ("Engaging in sexual intercourse with a thirteen-year-old girl was a 'crime of violence'").

The foregoing cases are consistent with the definition of "crime of violence" under Section 3156(a)(4)(B):

the term "crime of violence" means -

* * *

(B) any other offense that is a felony and that, by its nature, involves a substantial risk that physical force against the person or property of another may be used in the course of committing the offense
. . .

For purposes of Section 3156(a)(4)(B), a charge under Section 2422(b) is a crime of violence.

In determining whether detention is appropriate, one factor to be considered is "the weight of the evidence against the person" Section 3142(g)(2) In the instant case, the government has a transcript of the entire conversation from the internet chat room. The defendant was arrested after traveling to Lafayette for the sexual encounter.

The government has demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is a danger to the community and "that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure . . . the safety of . . . the community" Section 3142(e) The defendant engaged in sexually explicit conversations with an agent posing as a 13 year old girl. The defendant traveled to meet that girl and obtained a motel room. Condoms and KY Jelly were found in that motel room. During one of the conversations, the defendant indicated that he previously had a sexual encounter with a minor girl.

The defendant is employed and resides with his girlfriend of 30 years. The defendant has a prior conviction for child molesting, and it would be difficult to provide restrictions on the defendant's activities which would deny him access to a computer and minor children.

Under all of the circumstances, it is unlikely that the Probation Department could effectively monitor the defendant if released on bond. The government's motion for pretrial detention is **GRANTED**, and the defendant is **ORDERED HELD WITHOUT BOND**. 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i), it is further **ORDERED** that:

- A. The defendant shall be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement to a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons who are confined after a conviction;
- B. The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunities for private consultation with his attorney; and
- C. The defendant shall be delivered to the custody of the United States Marshal when the appearance of each defendant for any court proceeding is required.

ENTERED this 1st day of September, 2006

s/ Andrew P. Rodovich
United States Magistrate Judge